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FFI in short

FFI is a partnership between the Swedish government and automotive industry for joint funding of research, innovation and development concentrating on Climate & Environment and Safety. FFI has R&D activities worth approx. €100 million per year, half of which is governmental funding. The background to the investment is that development within road transportation and Swedish automotive industry has big impact for growth. FFI will contribute to the following main goals: Reducing the environmental impact of transport, reducing the number killed and injured in traffic and strengthening international competitiveness. Currently there are five collaboration programs: Vehicle Development, Transport Efficiency, Vehicle and Traffic Safety, Energy & Environment and Sustainable Production Technology.

For more information: www.vinnova.se/ffi
1. Executive summary

The EMCCOM project (diarienr. 2012-00923) is a FFI project within the Vehicle Development program. EMCCOM is a three year project that started 2012-09-14 and ended 2015-06-30. The project had a total budget of 7,3 MSEK. Partners in the project have been VCC, Volvo AB, Provinn AB, FOI Swedish Defence Research Agency and SP Technical Research Institute of Sweden.

EMCCOM have developed new EMC test methods for vehicle electronic units and simulation models. Both to be used to protect the performance (both reliability and capacity) of wireless digital communication services and by that ensure high availability of implemented safety and transport efficiency functions. Better precision in test methods and models will further reduce the costs in current test procedures and in a longer perspective greatly reduce the need for complete vehicle testing, which is very time consuming, costly and only possible late in the product development.

Questions that have been addressed in the project include:

- Which detector has the best correlation between a Radio Frequency (RF) electromagnetic interference measurement and the resulting degradation of wireless system performance?
- What level of electromagnetic interference is acceptable for a wireless communication system in a vehicle?
- What level of electromagnetic emission is acceptable for an electronic control unit to be used in a vehicle?
- What measurement method (detector, resolution bandwidth, …) is best suited to characterize the RF electromagnetic emissions from an electronic control unit to make it possible to predict the resulting performance degradation of an exposed wireless communication system?
- Is it possible to develop very simple models to be used to predict the performance degradation of an exposed wireless communication system?

Systems that have been studied are 3G, 4G, GNSS (GPS), WLAN (802.11g), C-ITS (802.11p).

The results have shown that:

- Best overall correlation have the RMS detector for the studied technologies and frequency bands with an average Pearson correlation coefficient of 0,88. The Average detector is however not far behind, 0,86.
- Acceptable level of electromagnetic interference is a concept containing many underlying standpoints. What data rate is needed and by that, what modulation? How much error correction is acceptable to use for this single type of interference? In the result from the
EMCCOM measurements the standpoint have been highest modulation and lowest code rate as a basis.

- Based on the measurements simple binary logistic regression models have been developed that can be used to predict the resulting probability of error (BLER or PER) from an interfering signal. These simple models have been developed in parallel to more complex models to understand the underlying mechanism for a technology to be disturbed.

The result of the project will be used in the work to update existing international standards aiming at the protection of radio receivers on board vehicles. In fact, the project has given input to the standardisation working group CISPR/D/WG2 in the form of a white paper.

2. Background

In the beginning of 2012, when the application for this project was written, VCC and Volvo AB for a longer time had experienced the need of deeper knowledge about how electromagnetic emissions from modern vehicle electronics degrade the different wireless communication systems used in vehicles. Modern vehicles contain several different broadcasting and communication radio systems that are used both for entertainment and vehicle functions. In Figure an example of different systems used in a Volvo car now or in the near future is shown.
The development goes towards more complex safety functions and autonomous vehicles. Examples of such functions are the Use Cases described at the Car-2-Car communication consortium home page (https://www.car-2-car.org):

- Location warning
- GLOSA (Green Light Optimal Speed Advisory)
- Motorcycle warning
- Warning lights on
- Warning of roadworks
- Avoidance of traffic jams

Functions like these require information from “off board sensors”, sensors not located in the vehicle itself. These sensors can be positioned on other vehicles or in the infrastructure close to or far away from the vehicle. In order to maintain high availability of functions, communication link performance metrics such as range and signal delay have to be addressed to a higher degree than in traditional entertainment broadcasting radio systems.

In order to increase the knowledge, develop new requirements and test methods as well as low complexity simulation models to be able to predict how the link performance is affected by electromagnetic emissions from the vehicle electronics, the EMCCOM project was started.

### 3. Objective

The project objectives are:

- Increase knowledge among the partners of how electromagnetic interference degrade the performance of digital radio communication
- Develop methods for characterisation of interference
- Develop methods for determining interference impact on digital radio receivers
- Develop simple simulation models
- Gain input to contribute to standardisation

Systems to analyse are 3G, 4G, GNSS (GPS), WLAN (802.11g), C-ITS (802.11p).
4. Project realization

The EMCCOM project has been a 3-year project consisting of five Work Packages:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>WP Leader</th>
<th>Budget [kSEK]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WP1 Project Management</td>
<td>VCC</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP2 Literature study and evaluation of previous work</td>
<td>VCC</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP3 Measurements</td>
<td>Volvo AB</td>
<td>2985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP4 Modelling</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>3060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP5 Test method development</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Partners in the projects have been:
SP Technical Research Institute of Sweden (Sveriges Tekniska Forskningsinstitut AB)
FOI Swedish Defence Research Agency (Totalförsvarets Forskningsinstitut)
Volvo Car Corporation
Volvo AB
Provinn AB

The project leader has been Björn Bergqvist, VCC. The steering committee consisted of Mats Lundin, Göran Humleby and Malcolm Resare (VCC), Alejandro Cortes and Ulf Herbertsson (Volvo AB), P-O Bergström (Provinn AB), Hans Frennberg (FOI) and Christer Karlsson (SP).
The work has been conducted in closely cooperating working groups consisting of the following core persons. Other persons in the companies have been supporting to less degree.
Table 2.

5. Results and deliverables

5.1 Delivery to FFI-goals

The project was financed via the Vehicle Development program.
EMCCOM has increased the knowledge needed to increase efficiency in the development process. Interference discovered in late stages usually calls for expensive redesign and retesting. The result from EMCCOM will:

- Increase the reliability of measurements at ECU level. By using reliable test methods on ECU level, the chance of detecting design flaws in early stage is greatly increased.
- By using modelling the results from the ECU measurements requirement specifications at ECU level can be written more exact.
- The previous two points will increase the reliability of vital radio services and improve safety
- Increased knowledge behind the requirement levels leads to cheaper components since extra margins can be avoided. Balancing against other attribute requirements can be performed with increased confidence.
- Cost reductions by means of a more effective verification procedure.
In a longer perspective that goes beyond the EMCCOM project, the vision is to be able to greatly reduce the need for complete vehicle testing, which is very time consuming, costly and only possible late in the product development. The long-term goal is a transition from testing to make way for an increased part of simulations. It consists of:

1. Proper characterization of emission sources (unintentional transmitters as well as intentional transmitters) by measurements. (source specific)
2. Simulation of coupling paths from source to antenna port (body specific)
3. Calculation of the resulting received quality. (receiver system specific)

EMCCOM contains activities to increase the knowledge within steps 1 and 3. In a first phase, step 2 can be performed by using coupling measurements and simple modelling. The vision is that products reaching market 2020 can be based on the above activities and characterization of emission sources are based on a new international standard better suited for simulation of digital radio receivers.

5.2 Measurements and results from EMCCOM

In the EMCCOM project, we have conducted measurements on several digital radio systems with the aim to find acceptable interference levels at the radio receiver input. Several different types of interference signals have been used as test signals. We have measured on the following systems and frequencies:

- 3G (WCDMA), 2112.6 MHz, 16 QAM, Code rate 0.97, 14 Mbit/s
- 4G (LTE), 2140 MHz, 64 QAM, Code rate 0.94, 75 Mbit/s
- WiFi (IEEE 802.11g), 2450 MHz, 64 QAM, Code rate 0.75, 54 Mbit/s
- ITS (IEEE 802.11p), 5900 MHz, QPSK, Code rate 0.5, 6.0 Mbit/s

We have used the highest modulation and lowest code rate as a basis for our measurements. The philosophy behind this is that the vehicle shall not degrade the potential of the communication technology. If the performance is degraded, it shall be due to other factors than emissions from on-board vehicle electronics.

The acceptable interference level was determined in the following way:
- The signal (S) was adjusted to a level 10 dB above the threshold level, i.e., where the throughput goes from 100% to 0% (very sharp knee). This corresponds approximately to the “edge of service” for each system with the above given modulation, code rate etc.
- Probability of Block Error (BLER) or an equivalent measure (system dependent) was measured as a function of signal to interference amplitudes (S/I) for each type of interference signal.
- A binary logistic regression model, taking all interference types into account, was made. An example of regression model for WCDMA is shown in Fig. 2.
- From the regression model, the signal to interference level at 10% BLER was derived. This level defines the acceptable signal to interference level at the radio receiver input.
- The acceptable interference level in dBm is then computed as the threshold level + 10 dB - S/I from the above step.
- This level is finally converted to the acceptable voltage at the receiver input, assuming 50 ohm by adding 107 dB.

![Example of binary logistic regression model for WCDMA based on measurements on Sony Xperia Z1.](image)

**MEASUREMENT SETUP**

In order to have a well-defined measurement setup we have used a conducted measurement setup, as shown in Fig. 3. In principal, the same setup has been used for all tested radio systems. For GPS and C-ITS the Communication Tester was changed to a GPS simulator and a Transmitting IEEE 802.11p node respectively. The measurements are static in the sense that fading is not included.
**TESTED INTERFERENCE SIGNALS**

The tested interference signals are defined in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interference type</th>
<th>Modulation frequency (kHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM, 80% sinusoidal</td>
<td>1, 7.5, 15, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM, deviation 30 kHz</td>
<td>7.5, 15, 30, 100, 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM, deviation 100 kHz</td>
<td>7.5, 15, 30, 100, 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Modulation</td>
<td>1, 2, 5, 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Interference signals.

**ASSUMPTIONS USED FOR DERIVING LIMIT LINES**

The measured quantity was the acceptable level, in dBµV, at the input of the radio receiver, as defined above. In order to compute the component requirements as in IEC CISPR 25, in dBµV/m, we have used the antenna factor for a quarter wavelength monopole. We have used this antenna factor for all frequencies. The rational for this choice is that this is the “best possible” antenna for vehicle applications and is normally the design goal when designing an automotive antenna. The antenna factor we have used is thus given by equation (1);

\[
AF_{\text{monopole}} = \frac{9.73}{\lambda \sqrt{G_{\text{monopole}}}} = \frac{9.73 \cdot f_{\text{MHz}}}{300 \cdot \sqrt{G_{\text{monopole}}}} \approx 0.018 \cdot f_{\text{MHz}} \quad \text{or in dB as} \quad AF_{\text{monopole, dB}} = 20 \cdot \log(f_{\text{MHz}}) - 34.9 \quad (1)
\]
MEASUREMENT RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH VCC, VOLVO AB AND IEC CISPR25

The acceptable voltage at the receiver input calculated as above then becomes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Frequency [MHz]</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>Level [dBµV]</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>Level [dBµV]</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>Level [dBµV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WCDMA</td>
<td>2112.6</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20MHz</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTE</td>
<td>2140</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20MHz</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE 802.11g</td>
<td>2450</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20MHz</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE 802.11p</td>
<td>5900</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>20MHz</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Vehicle limits resulting from above mentioned measurements and assumptions.

Based on vehicle limits above and using the antenna factor in (1), the corresponding component limits become:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Frequency [MHz]</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>Level [dBµV/m]</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>Level [dBµV/m]</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>Level [dBµV/m]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WCDMA</td>
<td>2112.6</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20MHz</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTE</td>
<td>2140</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20MHz</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE 802.11g</td>
<td>2450</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20MHz</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE 802.11p</td>
<td>5900</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>120kHz</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>20MHz</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Component limits resulting from above mentioned measurements and assumptions.

It should be noted that the IEEE 802.11p radio we used in our measurements was a prototype based on a modified IEEE 802.11a transceiver.
MEASUREMENT DETECTOR AND BANDWIDTH

Correlation between measured amplitude of the interference signal, $I$, and the probability of error (BLER or PER) was calculated for Peak-, RMS- and Average-detector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WCDMA</td>
<td>16QAM</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTE</td>
<td>64QAM</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE802.11g</td>
<td>64QAM</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE802.11g</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE802.11lp</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>0.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Correlation</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8622</td>
<td>0.8762</td>
<td>0.8642</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Correlation between Probability of Error (BLER or PER) and measured interference amplitude using 3 different spectrum analyzer detectors: Peak, RMS and Average.

A measurement bandwidth of 20 MHz has been used during the measurements. Recommendation is to use:

1. Use the bandwidth of the radio system. Problem: measurement noise can be too high. Not all spectrum analyzers have the needed RBW.
2. 1 MHz is a compromise that is acceptable for the noise sources present in the cars today.
3. In case the measurement noise is too high, decrease the bandwidth down to 120kHz or 10kHz to get required margin between measurement noise level and requirement level.

6. Dissemination and publications

6.1 Knowledge and results dissemination

During the project, two open seminars have been organized to spread the result. The first seminar was held in June 2013 where the result of the literature study was presented and comments from the participants were gathered as an input to the coming project work. The second seminar was held in June 2015. Results and conclusions from the project were presented and compared to existing specifications and standards. At both seminars around 40 participants were attending. Many of them not working in the project. The project results have also been presented at a number of conferences, Electronic Environment 2013, EMC Europe 2014 and EMC Europe 2015. See list of publications in section 6.2.
To interact with other projects and activities within the field, EMCCOM results have been presented at IEEE EMC Sweden’s meeting in September 2014, FFI projekt WCAE workshop at Lindholmen Oct. 2014 and FFI projekt RelCommH workshop at ASTA ZERO Oct 2014. A White paper have been sent to the standardisation working group CISPR/D/WG2 with input to the work to update the standard IEC CISPR 25.

6.2 Publications


B. Bergqvist, T Persson “Vehicle development-an EMC challenge” Electronic Environment #3 2013

7. Conclusions and future research

As can be seen in Table 4 and 5, there are differences in the measured acceptable interference level for vehicles and components compared to the current VCC, Volvo AB and IEC CISPR 25 levels. There are also discussions going on in the working group, CISPR/D/WG2 where new limits are proposed by other groups. Our levels are based on measurements on commercially available or prototype digital receivers. EMCCOM have also a fundamental difference in the approach used for transforming from vehicle to component levels compared to current CISPR 25 standard. We have used the antenna factor for a quarter wavelength monopole, which is linearly increasing with frequency, while in the current standard a constant antenna factor of 18 dB/m has been used. This result is considerable differences at higher frequencies. As an example, the difference in antenna factor is 23 dB at 5.9 GHz. We have described our rational for our choice above. The work will continue in the CISPR working group to achieve an updated harmonized standard for measuring electromagnetic interference on the digital communication bands.

It should be noted that the IEEE 802.11p radio we used in our measurements was a prototype based on a modified IEEE 802.11a transceiver.
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